IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

Madjid Berd, et. al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	ORDER FOR RULE 16(b)
)	SCHEDULING CONFERENCE,
)	AND ORDER RE RESOLUTION
vs.)	OF DISCOVERY DISPUTES
)	
Paul De Bastos and Paul Real Estate, Inc.,)	
)	Case No.: 1:16-cv-339
Defendants.)	

IT IS ORDERED:

RULE 16(b) SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

The court shall hold a Rule 16(b) initial pretrial scheduling/discovery conference on August 2, 2018, at 10:00 a.m. CDT. The court shall initiate the conference call. The court will work with the parties at the scheduling conference to establish pretrial deadlines and formulate a scheduling and discovery plan. Attached is a sample scheduling and discovery plan for the parties' reference.

RESOLUTION OF DISCOVERY DISPUTES

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the following steps be undertaken by all parties <u>prior</u> to the filing of any discovery motions:

- The parties are strongly encouraged to informally resolve all discovery issues and disputes without the necessity of Court intervention. In that regard, the parties are first required to confer and fully comply with Rule 37(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 37.1 by undertaking a sincere, good faith effort to try to resolve all differences without Court action or intervention;
- 2) In the event that reasonable, good faith efforts have been made by all parties to

confer and attempt to resolve any differences, without success, the parties are then

required to schedule a telephonic conference with the Magistrate Judge in an effort

to try to resolve the discovery dispute <u>prior to</u> the filing of any motions. The parties

shall exhaust the first two steps of the process before any motions, briefs,

memorandums of law, exhibits, deposition transcripts, or any other discovery

materials are filed with the Court.

If the dispute still cannot be resolved following a telephonic conference with the

Magistrate Judge, then the Court (Magistrate Judge) will entertain a motion to

compel discovery, motion for sanctions, motion for protective order, or other

discovery motions. In connection with the filing of any such motions, the moving

party shall first fully comply with all requirements of Rule 37(a)(1) of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 37.1 and shall submit the appropriate

certifications to the Court as required by those rules.

4) The Court will refuse to hear any discovery motion unless the parties have made a

sincere, good faith effort to resolve the dispute and all of the above-identified steps

have been strictly complied with. A failure to fully comply with all of the

prerequisite steps may result in a denial of any motion with prejudice and may result

in an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

3)

Dated this 9th day of July, 2018.

/s/ Charles S. Miller, Jr.

Charles S. Miller, Jr.

United States Magistrate Judge

2